

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION

FILED BY *WEL* D.C.

05 MAY -3 PM 4: 54

GLEND A GLINSEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LOWELL DUKE, et al.,

Defendants.

ROBERT R. DI BOLLIO  
CLERK, U.S. DIST. CT.  
W.D. OF TN, MEMPHIS

No. 05-2214-MaV

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to written notice, a scheduling conference was held,  
and the following dates were established as the final dates for:

INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1): May 5, 2005

JOINING PARTIES: June 21, 2005

AMENDING PLEADINGS: June 21, 2005

INITIAL MOTIONS TO DISMISS: July 21, 2005

COMPLETING ALL DISCOVERY: December 21, 2005

(a) DOCUMENT PRODUCTION: December 21, 2005

(b) DEPOSITIONS, INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR  
ADMISSIONS: December 21, 2005

(c) EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE (Rule 26):

(1) DISCLOSURE OF PLAINTIFF'S RULE 26 EXPERT  
INFORMATION: October 21, 2005

(2) DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S RULE 26 EXPERT  
INFORMATION: November 21, 2005

(3) EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITIONS: December 21, 2005

FILING DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS: January 21, 2006

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance  
with Rule 58 and/or 79(a) FRCP on 5-4-05

5

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS:

No depositions may be scheduled to occur after the discovery cutoff date. All motions, requests for admissions, or other filings that require a response must be filed sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date to enable opposing counsel to respond by the time permitted by the Rules prior to that date.

Motions to compel discovery are to be filed and served by the discovery deadline or within 30 days of the default or the service of the response, answer, or objection, which is the subject of the motion, if the default occurs within 30 days of the discovery deadline, unless the time for filing of such motion is extended for good cause shown, or the objection to the default, response, answer, or objection shall be waived.

This case is set for non-jury trial, and the trial is expected to last 2-3 days. The pretrial order date, pretrial conference date, and trial date will be set by the presiding judge.

This case is appropriate for ADR. The parties are directed to engage in court-annexed attorney mediation or private mediation after the close of discovery.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Local Rule 11(a)(1)(A), all motions, except motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, 56, 59, and 60 shall be accompanied by a proposed order.

The opposing party may file a response to any motion filed in this matter. Neither party may file an additional reply, however, without leave of the court. If a party believes that a reply is necessary, it shall file a motion for leave to file a reply accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the reasons for which a reply is required.

The parties have not consented to trial before the magistrate judge.

This order has been entered after consultation with trial counsel pursuant to notice. Absent good cause shown, the scheduling dates set by this order will not be modified or extended.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2005.

Diane K. Vesco  
DIANE K. VESCOVO  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE



## Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 5 in case 2:05-CV-02214 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on May 4, 2005 to the parties listed.

---

Sam F. Cole  
COLE LAW OFFICE  
100 N. Main Building  
Ste. 2519  
Memphis, TN 38103

Henry L. Klein  
APPERSON CRUMP & MAXWELL, PLC  
6000 Poplar Ave.  
Ste. 400  
Memphis, TN 38119--397

Heather Anne Kirksey  
CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE  
125 N. Main Street  
Rm. 314  
Memphis, TN 38103

Honorable Samuel Mays  
US DISTRICT COURT